

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/034,948	12/28/2001	Thomas A. Gordon	P48-1303-1	9367	
75	90 09/08/2003				
McCormick, Paulding & Huber			EXAMINER		
City Place II 185 Asylum Str			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M		
Hartford, CT 06103-3402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3623		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(a)	S		
<u>.</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/034,948	GORDON, THOMA	GORDON, THOMAS A.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Susanna M. Diaz	3623			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress		
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	: mmunication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u> 2 June 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> is/are pending in the application	on				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii nom consideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-68</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
•	on Papers	r election requirement.		•		
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examine	r.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.				
12) 🔲 🗂	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the Ε	Examiner.				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
. 13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage		
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domes	* *				
Attachment	U		- -			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s f Informal Patent Application (PTO			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/034,948

Art Unit: 3623

•

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-61, drawn to a system for allowing a customer to view, sample, and purchase a product, classified in class 705, subclass 27.
 - II. Claims 62-64 and 68, drawn to a method for collecting personal preference information from a customer and targeting products based on the customer's preference information, classified in class 705, subclass 10.
 - III. Claims 65-67, drawn to a method for setting up privileged access with manipulation means to personal information for privileged users, classified in class 705, subclass 1.

(Please note that there is no antecedent basis for "said wallpaper product" in line 1 of claim 56. For examination purposes, claim 56 is interpreted as being dependent from claim 55 instead of claim 1. Furthermore, claim 63 is a method claim, yet it recites that it is dependent from system claim 19. For examination purposes, claim 63 is interpreted as being dependent from method claim 62 instead of claim 19. Please correct and/or clarify in response to the instant Office action.)

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does

Application/Control Number: 10/034,948

Art Unit: 3623

4,

not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I allows a customer to select a product without targeting a product to the customer based on the customer's personal preference information. The subcombination has separate utility such as targeting any type of content, such as electronic newspapers, to a customer based on his/her personal preference information.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I allows a customer to select a product without setting up privileged access with manipulation means to personal information for privileged users. The subcombination has separate utility such as setting up an access-controlled database (storing any type of data) with read-only and write-enabled features for various users.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/034,948

Art Unit: 3623

is,

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention III allows one to set up privileged access with manipulation means to personal information for privileged users. The subcombination has separate utility such as targeting any type of content, such as electronic newspapers, to a customer based on his/her personal preference information.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was not attempted because the requirement for restriction is complex. See MPEP § 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susanna M. Diaz whose telephone number is (703) 305-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643.

Application/Control Number: 10/034,948 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703)746-7048 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 22202, 7th floor receptionist.

Susanna M. Diaz Primary Examiner Art Unit 3623

September 5, 2003